



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P.O. Box 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov  
dhw 12-03

Paper No. 21

ROBERT T. HRUBIEC  
CEPHALON, INC.  
145 BRANDYWINE PARKWAY  
WEST CHESTER PA 19380

**COPY MAILED**

**DEC 03 2003**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of  
Jacobs et al.  
Application No. 09/975,350  
Filed: 11 October, 2001  
Attorney Docket No. CP215

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b),<sup>1</sup> filed on 17 November, 2003, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned on 8 July, 2003, for failure to file a proper reply to the final Office action mailed on 7 March, 2003, which set a three (3) month statutory period for reply. A one (1) month extension of time was filed on 18 June, 2003, along with an amendment after final rejection. The amendment did not

---

<sup>1</sup>Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continued examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

place the case in condition for allowance, and an Advisory Action was mailed on 8 July, 2003. Notice of Abandonment was mailed on 22 October, 2003.

On 17 November, 2003, the present petition was filed, accompanied by a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and an amendment as the submission required under 37 CFR 1.114.

The application will be forwarded to Technology Center 1600 for consideration of the RCE and amendment.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (703)308-6918.



Douglas I. Wood  
Senior Petitions Attorney  
Office of Petitions